

Application Number:

Ranked by:

Date:

ROUND 17 (2009) TRANSPORTATION ENHANCEMENT PROJECT RANKING FORM

Project Name: _____

Project Sponsor: _____

Primary Activity Number: _____ State/Local: _____ MPO/COG: _____

		Points Possible*	Points Awarded*
1. Overall Project Considerations/Objectives		35	
Consider	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Degree to which project relates to surface transportation ◆ Project addresses multiple objectives/activity areas ◆ Relation to existing transportation infrastructure ◆ Connectivity between transportation modes/multi-modal ◆ Plan implementation works within existing transportation plans ◆ Natural resource availability awareness or protection enhanced ◆ Does project have historic or scenic designations 		
2. Project Need/One Time Opportunity		10	
Consider	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ One time opportunity to complete project ◆ High degree of immediacy - delay would threaten project 		
3. Project Maintenance		10	
Consider	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Comprehensiveness of on-going maintenance/repair program ◆ On-going maintenance and repair funding source identified 		
4. Cost-Effectiveness/Reasonable Cost Factors		10	
Consider	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Performance or productivity vs. project cost ◆ Cost effectiveness vs. reasonable cost 		
5. Community Involvement		10	
Consider	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Degree of regional or community support/commitment ◆ Community involvement in planning, design, and/or implementation ◆ Project listed in any other plan with extensive public participation 		
6. Community Benefit or Improvement		25	
Consider	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Number of people anticipated to use completed project ◆ Benefits to quality of life, community, or environment ◆ Positive impact on local economy or tourism/benefits low-income area ◆ Safety improvements over existing conditions ◆ Enhances handicap or alternate mode access 		
Total Project Score		100	

Notes/Comments: _____

*Points Awarded range from 0 to Points Possible

TRANSPORTATION ENHANCEMENT REVIEW COMMITTEE ROUND 17 (2009) EVALUATION CRITERIA

All project proposals are scored by the same point system and can receive a maximum of 100 points.

Scoring ranges for the **Project Ranking Form**:

1.	Overall Project Considerations/Objectives	0-35 points
2.	Project Need/One Time Opportunity	0-10 points
3.	Project On-going Maintenance	0-10 points
4.	Cost Effectiveness/Reasonable Cost Factors	0-10 points
5.	Community Involvement	0-10 points
6.	Community Benefit or Improvement	<u>0-25 points</u>
	Maximum Points Possible	100 points

Evaluation criteria consist of the following:

- 1. Overall Project Factors and Considerations (possible 35 points)**
 - ◆ Relation to existing transportation infrastructure
How much of an enhancement is the project in relation to the existing community, region, or state transportation infrastructure?
 - ◆ Connectivity
Connects transportation modes, has multi-modal aspects. Reinforces or complements the regional transportation system, fills deficiency in the system.
 - ◆ Plan Implementation
Meets goals in the regional transportation plan or other adopted Federal, State, or Local plans. Examples might include water quality plans or elements of general plans.
 - ◆ Resource Availability/Awareness/Protection
Enhances availability, awareness, or protection of historic, cultural, aesthetic, or natural resources.
 - ◆ Historic or scenic designations
Is the proposed project listed on or does it meet criteria for any local, state, or federal historic or scenic designations?
 - ◆ Project relates to surface transportation
Projects evaluated to determine the degree to which they relate to surface transportation.
 - ◆ Project meets additional objectives
Projects that address more than one of the eligible activity areas for transportation enhancements can receive additional points in this scoring area. Point consideration may be given based both on the number of additional eligible activities impacted by the proposal and to how significantly or well those additional eligible activities are impacted.

- 2. Project Need/One Time Opportunity (possible 10 points)**
 - ◆ Is there a one-time opportunity for the proposed project?
 - ◆ Is the project otherwise threatened?
There may be an immediate need to do the project or an opportunity will be lost, or postponing the project could result in substantial degradation of the resource. For example, an historic structure would deteriorate past the point of restoration in two years, or continuing water pollution due to highway runoff would cause irreversible damage to the environment. A high degree of immediacy would indicate 10 points.

- 3. Project Maintenance (possible 10 points)**
 - ◆ On-going maintenance/repair program
Thoroughness of on-going maintenance/repair program including responsible organization(s).
 - ◆ On-going maintenance and repair funding

Project has identified source of funds for project on-going maintenance and repairs.

- 4. Cost-Effectiveness/Reasonable Cost Factors (possible 10 Points)**
- ◆ Measure the performance or productivity of the project as it relates to the annualized total project cost. Where the project does not lend itself to this type of analysis, the reasonableness of the cost should be established (i.e. a project that serves 500 people is more cost effective than a project that serves 20 people).
 - ◆ Potential scoring within these criteria could be as follows:

Highly Cost-Effective/Highly Reasonable Cost	10 points
Moderately Cost-Effective/Moderately Reasonable Cost	6 points
Low Cost-Effectiveness/Low Reasonable Cost	2 points
Not Cost-Effective/Not Reasonable	0 points
- 5. Community Involvement (possible 10 points)**
- ◆ Support/Commitment
Degree of regional or community support and/or commitment. For example, letters of support from local interest groups and public bodies, additional match above minimum provided, joint sponsorship, etc.
 - ◆ Extent of involvement
Extent of community involvement in planning, scoping process, design process, or implementation
 - ◆ Public participation in overall plan
Project is listed in any other planning documents that had extensive public participation
- 6. State, Regional or Community Benefit or Improvement (possible 25 points)**
- ◆ Anticipated use
Number of people anticipated to use proposed project
 - ◆ Benefits Quality of Life, Community, etc
Benefits to quality of life, community, environment, and/or safety. Examples might include provision of a safe, aesthetic pedestrian facility at a rail station, removal of billboards on a rural scenic highway, or provision for wildlife corridors or mitigation areas.
 - ◆ Economic / Tourism Impact
Degree to which project has positive impact on the economic development or tourism of the local area. Project has a positive impact on minority or low income populations.
 - ◆ Safety improvements over existing conditions
Improves current or potential unsafe conditions. Examples could include the addition of hand or guard rails or lighting areas for safety at night.
 - ◆ Accessibility
Enhances handicap access or alternate mode access to activity centers, such as businesses, schools, recreational areas and shopping areas.