**MINUTES**
**OF THE**
**STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD**
**STUDY SESSION**
**10:00 A.M., Thursday, October 2, 2003**
**Arizona Department of Transportation**
**Board Room**
**206 South 17th Avenue**
**Phoenix, Arizona 85007**

The State Transportation Board met in official session for a study session at 10:00 a.m., Thursday, October 2, 2003, with Chairperson Radicke presiding. Other Board members present included: Vice Chairperson Bill Jeffers, Rusty Gant, Dick Hileman, Joe Lane, and Jim Martin. Also present were Debra Brisk, Deputy Director; John McGee, Chief Financial Officer, Administrative Services Division; Dale Buskirk, Director, Planning Division; and Bill Higgins, State Engineer. There were approximately 30 people in the audience.

## PLEDGE

Chairperson Radicke led those present in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Bill Higgins updated the Board on Sam Maroufkhani's condition, stating the prognosis is looking good, however, they anticipate he will remain in intensive care through the weekend.

### Maricopa County Regional Transportation Plan Update (1/2 Cent Sales Tax)

Dennis Smith, MAG, updated the Board on the status of the Maricopa County Regional transportation plan and status of the community outreach of the draft plan. He said the Regional Transportation Policy Committee has gone through the most intensive planning effort the region has ever undergone. He said, while a Regional Transportation Policy Committee typically looks only within its borders, a southeast study was conducted to identify projects in Pinal County that will have future impacts on the region.

Mr. Anderson, MAG, said Maricopa County added over one million people during the past ten years and 6.2 million people are expected to reside in Maricopa County and an additional one million people are expected to move to Pinal County by 2025. He encouraged the Board to look at transportation improvements needed in Pinal County. He displayed a series of maps depicting primary developments, residential developments and changes in population densities. He also displayed level of service maps. He said the adopted Regional Transportation Plan is well balanced, with one third of the plan dedicated to regional transit services, ten percent directed toward street components and safety and technology improvements and $132 million set aside for bicycle and pedestrian projects. He stated the plan was put through an extensive outreach program and there was a high level of awareness among the public workshop participants that the tax was expiring. He stated they also conducted a phone survey in August, noting there was a low awareness among registered voters that the sales tax is expiring. He said 95 percent of respondents currently use freeways and 94 percent indicated they would continue to use

freeways in the future. He said 55 percent said they would use light rail and, while 22 percent currently use bus service, 49 percent indicated they would use it if service was available in their area. He noted 75 percent of respondents indicated they would definitely, or most likely, support extension of the half cent sales tax.

Mr. Anderson stated the plan totals $17.5 billion, with $9 billion coming from the sales tax. He explained $1.8 billion was subtracted for bond interest expense, leaving approximately $15.7 billion. He said 57 percent of funds were dedicated to highways and freeways, including $279 million in maintenance and a $75 million set aside for neighborhood mitigation measures. He said streets, bus service and light rail received nine percent, 17 percent and 15 percent, respectively. He stated the percentage with regard to the half-cent sales tax is lower because some of the federal funding sources dropped out. He explained 56 percent went to highways/freeways, 14 to rail, 19 percent to buses and 10 percent for streets. He noted the TPC set $100 million aside for right-of-way protection, specifically along SR 174 and the proposed extension of the 303L south at MC 85.

Mr. Jeffers asked what role MAG envisions the Board playing with regard to the sales tax extension. Mr. Smith explained they are going to ask the Legislature to get a bill to the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors, the Governor, the Board, and to the Elections Office by February 3. He said the best thing ADOT could do would be to voice their full support of the plan. Mr. Jeffers asked what role the Board would play in adjusting the plan in the future, assuming it is ultimately passed by the voters. Mr. Smith stated changes to the plan will be done through the Transportation Policy Committee, however, federal law requires a cooperatively developed plan and TIP. He said, therefore, the Board and ADOT staff will be involved in the decision making process. He stated, in the future, ADOT's role will be implementing the plan and running the life cycle program.

Chairperson Radicke asked Mr. Smith if MAG wants the Board to draft a resolution in favor of the plan. Mr. Smith responded yes, asking that the resolution include specific reference to multi-modal. Chairperson Radicke directed staff to draft a resolution prior to the Board's next meeting.

**Rest Area Program Overview and Recommendations**

Ms. Brisk presented the Rest Area Program, reviewing a list of 16 interstate rest areas and four non-interstate rest areas maintained by ADOT as well as 16 non-interstate rest areas maintained by other entities. She stated the average lifespan for a rest area is 23.5 years She stated four interstate and two non-interstate rest areas are currently being scoped. She explained, depending on a number of factors, new interstate rest areas cost $5,000,000 to $8,000,000 each and each non-interstate rest area costs $1,000,000 to $4,000,000. She said they are recommending the Board increase the current subprogram Rest Area Preservation by $2.4 million and that rest areas be scoped prior to their being entered into the program. She said they are also recommending that all stakeholders be in agreement on the location. She explained there is concern about dependency on other money, therefore, they want to make sure money is available before a project is entered into the program. She stated they are further recommending that funds be

established for rest area subprograms each fiscal year and protected from usage by others unless agreed upon by the Board.

Mr. Jeffers asked if the $2.4 million increase represents new money. Ms. Brisk explained the $2.4 million is currently being delivered through services to the Operations/Maintenance Budget.

Chairperson Radicke asked if the money will come from the state or HURF. Mr. McGee said the funds will come from the State Highway Fund. Chairperson Radicke expressed his opinion the subprogram should have a solid funding source rather than relying on legislative money.

Ms. Brisk reviewed a chart depicting programmed expenditures for the Rest Area Program for fiscal years 2004 through 2009.

Chairperson Radicke asked that the Board be informed before rest areas are closed and that it be kept abreast of the status of rest area projects.

In response to a member's question, Mr. Brady explained they are hoping consistent system preservation will lessen the cost of rehabilitation by reducing the need to reconstruct buildings.

Chairperson Radicke suggested they add $1.2 million for System Preservation in FY 2004 and 2005. Mr. Brady explained there is no money programmed for FY 2004 and 2005 because they will need time to develop plans and get projects underway. Mr. ___ suggested they increase preservation funding in 2006 to $1.2 million. Chairperson Radicke asked staff to bring the item back to the Board for action at its November meeting.

Ms. Brisk clarified the figures are based on 2003 dollars.

Mr. Levitz said the Rest Area Program is vitally important to economic development in Page and safety on Highway 89. He noted there are four and a half hours between rest stops on Highway 89, stating the distance contributes to a high number of accidents. He said land has already been set aside for the proposed rest area and water and sewer have been brought to the property. He stated they believe the National Park Service will participate with the City of Page in manning the rest area.

Mr. Jeffers suggested any new policy drafted include partnerships with local and private entities.

The meeting recessed for a short break.

**FY 2005 – 2009 Highway Construction Program - FY 09 Resource Allocation Summary & Schedule**

Mr. Buskirk provided an overview of the financial resource allocation for FY 09 and schedule for the development of the 09 Construction Program. He said they currently anticipate having $570 million available for FY 2009, noting the forecast will be updated in January 2004. He discussed how subprograms effect the allocation to MAG, PAG and the Thirteen Other Counties. He said a number of subprograms cannot be geographically placed and, therefore, are not part of

the distribution requirement. He explained those subprograms are considered to be "off the top" and are either formula driven or performance based and relate to overhead or operating expenses, regulatory or enforcement expenses, or ports of entry. He said two new "off the top" subprograms, Rest Area Preservation and Federal Tax Evasion Program, were added at the last Resource Allocation Advisory Committee meeting. He explained, assuming $32.8 million in off the top projects, FY 09 available funding is anticipated to be approximately $537.2 million. He discussion the regional shares for the FY 09 allocation, stating MAG will receive $198.76 million or 37 percent of the allocation, PAG will receive $69.8 million or 13 percent, and the Thirteen Other Counties will receive $268.6 million or 50 percent.

Mr. Jeffers asked if additional funds collected as a result of the Federal Tax Evasion Program will go into the General Fund or go directly to ADOT. Mr. Buskirk clarified the funds are not General Funds.

**Overview of the Allocation of Funds by Sub Program**

Mr. Buskirk gave an overview of the Sub Programs and the allocation for each Sub Program. He explained the state did not have a good idea of where actual projects under each subprogram were geographically located when resource allocation initially became an issue. He said, therefore, it was necessary to develop a methodology for defining presumptive allocations. He stated they are now in the labor intensive process of identifying actual expenditures by county. He reported three year average lump sum subprogram allocations in the MAG area of 13.8 percent, the PAG area of 8.4 percent and the TOC of 77.8 percent. He reviewed the allocation history, pointing out the degree of accuracy of their initial presumptive allocations. He said, while the percentages have changed slightly, there is a level of relative similarity. He discussed the following recommendations made during the last Resource Allocation Advisory Committee meeting: moving to actual expenditures; adjusting the formula annually; making a base adjustment on the three year average; and using a five year moving average when one becomes available.

**Scoping for Major Projects**

Mr. Louis displayed a map indicating which scoping projects have been completed, which are currently underway, and those planned for FY 2004. He noted all of the major scoping is done by consultants.

Mr. Buskirk identified members of the Scoping Prioritization Technical Advisory Committee. He said the programming process is intended to reflect the department's goals and objectives, translate resource allocation decisions into project selection, and make Arizona's stakeholders accountable. He explained the process begins with a District Engineer developing a scoping request, which is then sent to the Scoping Technical Advisory Committee who selects nominated projects by merit, district rank, and available scoping budget. He said the scoped projects are then placed into a pool of programmable projects and a performance based methodology is used to select projects for the five year program. He stated the purpose of scoping is to clearly define a project and the project's characteristics to produce a reasonable cost estimate. He stated data will be limited to readily available and universally comparable items. Mr. Buskirk reviewed the

MoveAZ Long Range goals and performance factors. With regard to mobility measures, he said they intend to use existing and future ADOT and Level Of Service data. He said safety measures will look at the number of accidents over time as well as the accident rate. He stated the strategic/planning measures will look at whether the proposed project is on the National Highway system, if it is part of the Strategic Highway Network, if it is located on the CANAMEX Corridor, the level of development along the roadway, and the roadway's functional class. He explained the data tabulation and ranking process, as well as weighting of the various performance factors. He said it is their recommendation that Mobility, Safety and Planning Goals be weighted equally.

Mr. Jeffers pointed out there could be instances when a project has to be scoped in order to determine its feasibility. He said, therefore, there will have to be some level of flexibility. Mr. Buskirk agreed. Mr. Buskirk was asked if the process will help District Engineers decide which scoping projects to bring forward. Mr. Buskirk said one of the strengths of the scoping process is that it begins with the District Engineers who know their district and how their system performs.

In response to Chairperson Radicke's question, Mr. Buskirk explained it is not their intent to identify the top priority in each district, but the top priorities throughout the system. He said they want to scope enough projects to populate the pool of programmable projects so that available funds are always fully utilized. He stated, however, there is currently $1.5 billion in projects that have been scoped, but not yet programmed. Chairperson Radicke asked how they intend to address the shelf life of scoping documents. Mr. Buskirk acknowledged some scoped projects in the pool of programmable projects may have to be re-scoped prior to being programmed. He pointed out they will need to systematically review scoped projects and pull some of the projects that will not be done in order to avoid wasted time and effort in updating the scoping documents. Chairperson Radicke asked about the frequency with which scoping requests can be submitted. Mr. Buskirk said scoping requests are typically submitted on an annual basis, however, the process could be changed to accommodate special circumstances.

Mr. Jeffers asked what percentage of the subprogram projects are scoped in house. Mr. Louis said the majority of the projects are scoped by consultants, however some pavement preservation and district minor projects are done in house.

Chairperson Radicke asked where they are with the process. Mr. Buskirk said the Board has to address two issues: 1) how much scoping should be done in a given year; and 2) whether or not to follow the proposed methodology to identify projects for scoping. He stated the next step is to go through all scoping documents to determine the viability of projects already in the pool of programmable projects.

Mr. Jeffers asked how they will accommodate a scoping request that is not planned, but has a lot of community support. Ms. Brisk said they will have to look at such requests in terms of Board policy and what partners will bring to the table.

Chairperson Radicke asked if they will consolidate previously completed scoping documents in house or hire a consultant. Mr. Buskirk said the scoping documents can be consolidated in house since technical judgments will not be necessary.

Ms. Brisk asked if the district recommended scoping projects identified in the letter were put through the cycle of review and ranking. Mr. Buskirk responded no, explaining the process had not yet been presented to the Board. He said those projects could be prioritized using the methodology if the Board so desires.

Chairperson Radicke asked staff to present the list of scoping projects as prioritized by the proposed methodology at the Board's November meeting. Mr. Louis pointed out the process will have to be applied to the original list of projects submitted by the District Engineers, not just those identified in the final letter.

The meeting recessed for a short break.

**ADOT Strategic Plan Update**

Ms. Brisk presented the Draft Strategic Plan for the Agency. She explained state law requires the annual submission of a five year strategic plan, noting the last time they looked at the strategic plan was 1998. She discussed the differences between the department's old and new missions, explaining there is an increased emphasis on linking to the global economy, promoting economic prosperity and respect for the environment. She identified the values that describe how the department conducts itself in carrying out its mission as being integrity, respect, customer service, safety, partnership, teamwork, performance, excellence, communication, empowerment, and leadership. She stated eight strategic issues were narrowed down to four critical strategic issues, positive customer service, delivery of the five-year construction program, accelerated completion of the regional freeway system and maintenance. She said their goals are to improve the movement of people and products throughout Arizona; increase the quality, timeliness and cost effectiveness of products and services; develop and retrain a competitively paid, high performing and successful workforce; optimize the use of all resources; and enlist the public and political support necessary to meet Arizona's transportation needs.

Ms. Brisk reported the draft Strategic Plan was submitted to the Legislature, however, the final plan is not due until January 2004.

Mr. Gant asked if the plan takes the new Board policies into consideration. Mr. Buskirk responded yes. Mr. Gant suggested there may be items in the plan that would work well as Board policies.

Chairperson Radicke suggested the Board reconstitute the Policy Committee to look at the new Rest Area Policy. He asked if the plan looks at possibilities for public/private partnerships. Mr. Buskirk said, although not specifically stated, the notion of public/private partnerships is inherent in the material.

**State Transportation Board Meetings and Study Sessions**

The Board continued their discussion from the September 4th Study Session, regarding how Board Meetings and Study Sessions are to be conducted in the future; attendance, location of meetings, use of the ADOT plane, teleconferencing, and so forth.

Mr. Acosta stated, in the opinion of the Attorney Generals Office, the Board needs to adopt a policy with regard to attendance. He said, while they would also recommend the Board adopt a policy concerning meeting dates and locations, the recommendation to develop a policy is not as strong. He stated the Board has no control over use of the state's plane, therefore a policy concerning its use is not necessary.

Mr. Jeffers asked if a policy concerning attendance would include dismissal of members who do not attend meetings regularly. Mr. Acosta stated the Board does not have power to remove a member.

Chairperson Radicke expressed his opinion the policy should address the use of telephone and video conferencing.

Mr. Jeffers asked if the Attorney General's Office would be comfortable with a policy that gives the Chairperson the authority to determine acceptability of attendance. Mr. Acosta responded no, explaining they are trying to avoid inconsistency and possible arguments.

Chairperson Radicke suggested the Policy Committee also take this issue under consideration.

Ms. Brisk referred to a handout created by Mr. Mendez, wherein he states a policy is not necessary. With regard to the state's plane, she stated the Board will be accommodated as long as it is available and in service.

Mr. Jeffers said, in his opinion, there are more important and productive uses for the state's plane than getting Board members to a meeting. He stated use of the plane should be based on what is in the best interest of the department. Chairperson Radicke agreed. Mr. Jeffers provided copies of a preliminary meeting schedule.

In response to Mr. Gant's question, Ms. Brisk stated the Board has historically held the December meeting in Tucson. Mr. Gant expressed his opinion the location of the December meeting should be at the discretion of the outgoing Chairperson. He said he also believes study sessions should be scheduled at the prior month's Board meeting and start earlier in the day.

Mr. Jeffers suggested study sessions be held at 1:00 p.m. on Tuesdays, pointing out a later start time will better accommodate those who have to travel. Mr. Jeffers said, as Chairperson next year, he may allow time for citizen comment at study sessions.

**Adjournment**

No closing comments were made.

**Board Action:** A motion to adjourn was made, seconded and passed unanimously.

The meeting adjourned at 2:35 p.m.

Bill Jeffers, Chairperson
State Transportation Board

Victor Mendez, Director
Arizona Department of Transportation

# MINUTES
# OF THE
# STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD MEETING
# 9:00 A.M., Friday, October 17, 2003
# At The Town of Wickenburg
# 155 North Tegner, Suite A
# Wickenburg, Arizona 85390

The State Transportation Board met in official session for a regular meeting at 9:00 a.m., Friday, October 17, 2003, with Chairperson Radicke presiding. Other Board members present included: Vice Chairperson Bill Jeffers, Rusty Gant, Dick Hileman, Joe Lane, Jim Martin, and Si Schorr. Also present were Director Victor Mendez; Debra Brisk, Deputy Director; John McGee, Chief Financial Officer, Administrative Services Division; Dale Buskirk, Director, Planning Division; Dan Lance, (Title); and Gary Adams, Assistant Director, Aeronautics Division. There were approximately 50 people in the audience.

## OPENING REMARKS AND PLEDGE

Chairperson Radicke led the audience in the Pledge of Allegiance. He thanked the Town of Wickenburg for their hospitality and introduced dignitaries in the audience.

## DISTRICT ENGINEER REPORT

Andy Roth, Engineer, made a slide presentation updating the Board on completed, ongoing and planned projects in the area.

## CALL TO AUDIENCE

Mayor Lon McDermott thanked the Board for holding their meeting in the Town of Wickenburg. He said the Board has been very supportive of the transportation needs in Wickenburg in the past and they are particularly thankful for the completion of US 60 from Sun City West to Wickenburg. He stated the work being performed on US 93 north of Kingman has made the highway much safer and they are pleased about the interim bypass route of US 60 scheduled for 2005. He said they also look forward to the enhancement projects ADOT has scheduled in the area. He thanked the Board for the study that is currently underway to identify the official CANAMEX route through Maricopa County via the Wickenburg Highway road and urged the Board to support the route becoming the official federally designated route.

Mayor Guliano, Mayor of Tempe and Chairman of the Transportation Policy Committee, stated they have worked cooperatively with ADOT staff to develop a multimodal plan to extend the half-cent sales tax that will hopefully advance to the voters of Maricopa County May 18, 2004. He thanked the Board for their consideration of a Resolution to support advancement of their plan to the voters and asked for their continued support as they go to the State Legislature, the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors and to the voters of Maricopa County. He stated the

impacts of the plan, which totals approximately $17 billion over the next 20 years, will be significant.

Representative Gary Pierce, Chairman of the House Transportation Committee, asked the Board to approve the Resolution to support the half-cent sales tax extension. He acknowledged a concern among some people regarding connectivity between Maricopa County and Pinal County, stating the concern has been addressed in the Board's resolution. He said the loss of the half-cent sales tax in Maricopa County would have significant impacts on the state as a whole.

Roc Arnett, former Board Chairperson and current Chairperson of the CTOC Committee, encouraged the Board to support the Resolution, stating the importance of the Board's support of the resolution cannot be overemphasized. He said, while the extension will provide $17 million to Maricopa County, its impact will extend into Pinal County as well as the Maricopa and Wickenburg areas.

Jim Patterson, former Board member and Co-Chair of the East Valley Partnership, expressed his opinion ADOT and the State Transportation Board do more for the state than any other area of the government. He voiced the East Valley Partnership's support of the Resolution. He distributed a map depicting new corridor concepts for southeast Maricopa and North Pinal counties.

Mary Anne Moreno, Executive Director, Globe-Miami Regional Chamber of Commerce and Economic Development Corporation, thanked the Board for allowing her to contract on Highway 188. She also thanked the Board for the gateway enhancement on the North Broad/US 60 junction, stating it has significantly improved the appearance of their entrance into the downtown area. She asked the Board to expedite plans for passing lanes on US 60, noting a semi-truck recently rolled over and tied traffic up for four hours. She encouraged the Board to include the railroad overpass in the US 70 widening project east of Globe.

Bill Leister, Transportation Director, CAAG, submitted a copy of the Final Summary Report for the Southeast Maricopa/Northern Pinal County Area Transportation Study. He recommended the corridor concepts outlined on Page 20 of the report be included in the Move Arizona 20 Year Transportation Plan. He agreed with Ms. Moreno's comments concerning the need to accelerate the passing lanes on US 60.

Dana Burden, Wickenburg resident, congratulated ADOT and the Board on their handling of construction on US 60 and improvements within Wickenburg, stating they were designed in such as way as to cause minimal disruption. He said, unfortunately, the west bypass concept that he supported is now a dead issue. He expressed his opinion the interim bypass will have to be upgraded to a four lane limited access road.

Dick Wertz, resident of Wickenburg, said if, in fact, the ultimate bypass concept is dead, he would encourage the Board to speed up the CANAMEX Corridor project from I-10 around Wickenburg because the interim bypass will not get trucks out of Wickenburg.

Leon Powell, Wickenburg resident, stated he is involved in three different locations in Wickenburg that will be directly impacted by the interim bypass. He asked what is the schedule for the interim bypass.

## CONSENT AGENDA

No items were removed from the Consent Agenda.

### Director's Report

Mr. Mendez announced Gary Adams tendered his resignation effective at the end of October. He thanked Mr. Adams for his many years of service.

Mr. Mendez updated the Board on Sam Maroufkhani's condition, stating he is back in Phoenix, but remains in serious condition.

### Resolution to the Maricopa Association of Governments (MAG) for State Transportation Board approval.

Bill Hayden presented and recommended approval of a Resolution of Support for the Regional Transportation Plan of the Maricopa Association of Governments developed in cooperation with the Arizona Department of Transportation. He stated the plan is currently undergoing air quality analysis and will then be forwarded for Regional Council approval and to the Senate and House Transportation Committees in November. He noted the Legislature and Governor will have to approve the plan by February 3 to make the May 18 public vote. He said there appears to be strong public support for the plan. He pointed out the Resolution includes a paragraph concerning the inclusion of planning studies for new and existing routes in Pinal County.

**Board Action:** A motion to approve the above recommendation was made by Mr. Gant and seconded by Mr. Jeffers.

Mr. Mendez requested the opportunity to correct typographical errors in the Resolution.

The motion passed unanimously.

### Legislative Report

Mr. Biesty reported the State Legislature will go into special session on Monday to deal with CPS issues, prison funding and other issues carried over from the last budget. He said they will continue to work with staff and members of the Legislature on the half-cent sales tax extension. He noted he has been meeting with the new Senate Transportation staff member, getting her up to speed on upcoming transportation issues.

Mr. Biesty stated he met with the delegation in Washington last week. He said, for the most part, they have been very supportive of what ADOT and the Board are doing. With regard to Hoover

Dam, he said language regarding the Garvey Agreement was added in the last appropriations bill. He said they suggested Congressman Franks circulate a letter among the delegation to encourage their support.

With regard to TEA21, Mr. Biesty reported a five month extension was signed by the President on September 30. He said they predict a series of short term resolutions to carry TEA21 past the next election. He stated the House and Senate have passed a continuing resolution concerning the 04 appropriation that carries current funding through until October 31. He said they are now talking about an omnibus bill that will hopefully be done by the middle of November. He stated the AIR21 reauthorization is in limbo, noting there was a lot of opposition to language contained in the conference report.

**Financial Report**

John McGee reviewed the September 2003 Highway User Revenue Fund Report, stating collections totaled $92.3 million, which is up about 2.9 percent above last year and about three percent over forecast. Year-to-date collections are about $281.3 million, which is 5.4 percent above last year and 3.4 percent over the forecast. Every category is currently running a positive variance compared to last year and the estimate.

Mr. McGee reported August RARF collections of $22.534 million, which is 3.8 percent above last year and three percent over the estimate. He said year-to-date collections now total $45.904 million, which is 4.7 percent over last year and 3.1 percent above the estimate. Every category except Rental of Personal Property and Other show gains compared to last year. He said preliminary September results indicate revenues will be $22.6 million, which represents a 4.7 percent increase over last year and a 1.8 percent increase over the estimate.

Mr. McGee reviewed the August Cash Management Investment Program report, stating Pool 2 earned a –.54 percent. He noted, however, the preliminary estimate given to the Board at the meeting in Holbrook anticipated earnings of -.82 percent. Preliminary September results appear to be positive with regard to Pool 2, although earnings are expected to be relatively modest. He stated total interest income through August 2003 totaled approximately $51,000.

Mr. McGee reported a September 30, 2003 HELP Fund Cash balance of $78,866,438, with 43 signed loans totaling $435,942,308.

**Financing Program**

Mr. McGee said they continue working on the Series 2003 HURF Bond issue. He stated their ratings have been confirmed by Standard & Poore and Moody's and a Preliminary Official Statement has been published. He said their plan is to do the $150 million issue the week after next. Mr. Freund reviewed the Pre-Pricing Report.

The meeting recessed for a short break.

## *2003 BOARD MEETING DATES & LOCATIONS

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| November 21, 2003 | Parker | 9:00 a.m. |
| December 19, 2003 | Globe | 9:00 a.m. |

## PRIORITY PLANNING ADVISORY COMMITTEE (PPAC)

Approved Changes to the FY 04 – 08
Highway Construction Program
Fiscal Year Summary

Mr. Buskirk stated, for the months of July, August and September, there have been a total of 61 requests, two major projects have been deleted and 31 projects have been added at a cost of $16.5 million. There have been changes in scope for eight projects and changes in costs for 21 projects, with $19.5 million in cost increases and $2 million in cost decreases. One project has been advanced and seven have been delayed.

Mr. Jeffers asked if there is an overriding reason for the substantial increase in project costs. Mr. Buskirk said, generally, cost increases are due to increases in the scope of projects or because cost estimates were based on outdated scoping documents.

**FY 2004 - 2008 Transportation Facilities Construction Program**

| | |
|---|---|
| ROUTE NO: | SR 89 @ MP 312.50 |
| COUNTY: | Yavapai |
| SCHEDULE: | Underway Program |
| SECTION: | SR 89 / Butterfield Road |
| TYPE OF WORK: | Reconstruct intersection |
| PROGRAM AMOUNT: | $1,012,770 |
| PROJECT MANAGER: | John Sterner |
| PROJECT: | H516601C Item #; 54599 JPA 98-124 |
| REQUESTED ACTION: | Reduce program amount by $974,000 to $38,770 and cancel JPA 98-124 with Yavapai County. **Funds return to FY 2005 Highway Contingency Fund #72305.** |
| PROGRAM AMOUNT: | $1,012,770 |
| DECREASE AMOUNT: | $974,000 |
| NEW PROGRAM AMOUNT: | $38,770 |

| | |
|---|---|
| ROUTE NO: | SR 89 @ MP 312.5 |
| COUNTY: | Yavapai |
| SCHEDULE: | Underway Program |
| SECTION: | Jct. SR 69 / SR 89 |
| TYPE OF WORK: | Design traffic interchange |

| | |
|---|---|
| PROGRAM AMOUNT: | $1,430,000 |
| PROJECT MANAGER: | John Sterner |
| PROJECT: | H395701D Item #; 19101 |
| REQUESTED ACTION: | Reduce program amount by $430,000 to $1,000,000. Remaining funds sufficient to complete project design. **Funds return to FY 2005 Highway Contingency Fund #72305.** |

| | |
|---|---|
| PROGRAM AMOUNT: | $1,430,000 |
| DECREASE AMOUNT: | $430,000 |
| NEW PROGRAM AMOUNT: | $1,000,000 |

| | |
|---|---|
| ROUTE NO: | SR 89 @ MP 312.5 |
| COUNTY: | Yavapai |
| SCHEDULE: | FY 2004 |
| SECTION: | Jct. SR 69 / SR 89 |
| TYPE OF WORK: | Acquire right of way |
| PROGRAM AMOUNT: | $1,500,000 Funding Source: State |
| PROJECT MANAGER: | John Sterner |
| PROJECT: | H395701R Item #; 14703 |
| REQUESTED ACTION: | Reduce program amount by $1,261,000 to $239,000. **Funds return to FY 2005 Highway Contingency Fund #72305.** |

| | |
|---|---|
| PROGRAM AMOUNT: | $1,500,000 |
| DECREASE AMOUNT: | $1,261,000 |
| NEW PROGRAM AMOUNT: | $239,000 |

| | |
|---|---|
| ROUTE NO: | SR 89 @ MP 312.5 |
| COUNTY: | Yavapai |
| SCHEDULE: | FY 2005 |
| SECTION: | Jct. SR 69 / SR 89 |
| TYPE OF WORK: | Construct traffic interchange |
| PROGRAM AMOUNT: | $11,157,000 Funding Source: State |
| PROJECT MANAGER: | John Sterner |
| PROJECT: | H395701C Item #; 12604 |
| REQUESTED ACTION: | Increase program amount by $31,000 to $11,188,000. **Funds in amount of $978,000 will be returned to FY 2005 Highway Contingency Fund #72305. See new funding sources below.** |

| | |
|---|---|
| PROGRAM AMOUNT: | $11,157,000 |
| INCREASE AMOUNT: | $31,000 |
| FY 2005 Highway Contingency Fund #72305 | ($978,000) |
| Central Yavapai MPO (IGA Pending) | $509,000 |
| FY 2005 Statewide Enhancement Funds #75305 | $500,000 |
| NEW PROGRAM AMOUNT: | $11,188,000 |

| | |
|---|---|
| ROUTE NO: | SR 89 @ MP 313.80 |
| COUNTY: | Yavapai |
| SCHEDULE: | FY 2005 - New Project Request |
| SECTION: | Sundog Ranch Road - Willow Lake Road |
| TYPE OF WORK: | Construct roadway widening |
| PROGRAM AMOUNT: | New Project request |
| PROJECT MANAGER: | John Sterner |
| PROJECT: | H618701C |
| REQUESTED ACTION: | Add a new project in the amount of $7,000,000 to the FY 2005 Highway Construction Program. **Amended JPA 01-128 pending signature by City of Prescott. See funding sources below.** |

| | |
|---|---|
| FY 2005 District Minor #73305 | $1,777,000 |
| FY 2005 Traffic Engineering Fund #71205 | $400,000 |
| FY 2005 Highway Contingency Fund #72305 | $3,643,000 |
| FY 2004 City of Prescott, JPA 01-128 | $680,000 |
| FY 2005 City of Prescott, JPA 01-128 | $500,000 |
| NEW PROGRAM AMOUNT: | $7,000,000 |

**Board Action:** A motion to approve Items 8, 9, 10, 11 and 12 was made by Mr. Hileman, seconded by Mr. Jeffers and passed unanimously.

| | |
|---|---|
| ROUTE NO: | SR 10 B @ MP 18.46 |
| COUNTY: | La Paz |
| SCHEDULE: | New Project Request |
| SECTION: | Quartzsite |
| TYPE OF WORK: | Reconstruct roadway |
| PROGRAM AMOUNT: | New Project Request |
| PROJECT MANAGER: | David Harmon |
| PROJECT: | H519601C JPA #03-033 |
| REQUESTED ACTION: | Add a new roadway reconstruction project in the amount $4,700,000 to the FY 2004 Highway Construction Program. IGA includes turnback provisions upon completed of roadway segments. **Funds available from the FY 2004 Highway Contingency Fund #72304.** |
| NEW PROGRAM AMOUNT: | $4,700,000 |

**Board Action:** A motion to table Item 13 until the next Board meeting was made by Mr. Martin, seconded by Mr. Hileman and passed unanimously.

| | |
|---|---|
| ROUTE NO: | US 60 @ MP 212.00 |
| COUNTY: | Pinal |
| SCHEDULE: | FY 2006 |
| SECTION: | Florence Jct. - Gonzales Pass, Phase I |

| | |
|---|---|
| TYPE OF WORK: | Reconstruct Roadway |
| PROGRAM AMOUNT: | $27,000,000 |
| PROJECT MANAGER: | Vicki Bever |
| PROJECT: | H466203C Item # 11906 |
| REQUESTED ACTION: | Increase program amount by $10,000,000 to $37,000,000. **Funds available from the FY 2004 Highway Contingency Fund #72304.** |
| PROGRAM AMOUNT: | $27,000,000 |
| INCREASE AMOUNT: | $10,000,000 |
| NEW PROGRAM AMOUNT: | $37,000,000 |

**Board Action:** A motion to approve the above recommendation was made by Mr. Jeffers, seconded by Mr. Gant and passed unanimously.

| | |
|---|---|
| ROUTE NO: | SR 195 @ MP 23.00 |
| COUNTY: | Yuma |
| SCHEDULE: | FY 2004 |
| SECTION: | B-8 to I-8 |
| TYPE OF WORK: | Construct roadway widening |
| PROGRAM AMOUNT: | $3,655,000 Funding Source: STP |
| PROJECT MANAGER: | Mike Bruder |
| PROJECT: | H577407C Item #; 20704 |
| REQUESTED ACTION: | Increase program amount by $300,000 to $3,955,000 to allow for extension of north project limit. **Funds available from the FY 2004 Pavement Preservation Fund #72504.** |
| PROGRAM AMOUNT: | $3,655,000 |
| INCREASE AMOUNT: | $300,000 |
| NEW PROGRAM AMOUNT: | $3,955,000 |

**Board Action:** A motion to approve the above recommendation was made by Mr. Lane, seconded by Mr. Gant and passed unanimously.

| | |
|---|---|
| ROUTE NO: | SR 89A |
| COUNTY: | Yavapai |
| SCHEDULE: | FY 2004 - New Project Request |
| SECTION: | Zalesky Road |
| TYPE OF WORK: | Install traffic intersection signal |
| PROGRAM AMOUNT: | New Project Request |
| PROJECT MANAGER: | Walid Warde |
| PROJECT: | HX11701C JPA #02-109 |
| REQUESTED ACTION: | Add a new traffic signal installation project in the amount of $350,400 to the FY 2004 Highway Construction Program. **See funding sources below.** |

| | |
|---|---|
| FY 2004 Traffic Engineering Fund #71204 | $176,724 |
| Yavapai County IGA #02-109 | $173,676 |
| NEW PROGRAM AMOUNT: | $350,400 |

**Board Action:** A motion to approve the above recommendation was made by Mr. Hileman, seconded by Mr. Martin and passed unanimously.

| | |
|---|---|
| ROUTE NO: | US 60 @ MP 316.30 |
| COUNTY: | Navajo |
| SCHEDULE: | FY 2004 - New Project Request |
| SECTION: | Carrizon Driveway |
| TYPE OF WORK: | Construct turn lane and roadway widening |
| PROGRAM AMOUNT: | New Project Request |
| PROJECT MANAGER: | Michael Andazola |
| PROJECT: | H596401C |
| REQUESTED ACTION: | Add a new district minor project in the amount of $620,000 to the FY 2004 Highway Construction Program. Change project location/section to MP 316.3. **Funds available from District Minor #73304.** |
| NEW PROGRAM AMOUNT: | $620,000 |

**Board Action:** A motion to approve the above recommendation was made by Mr. Jeffers, seconded by Mr. Schorr and passed unanimously.

| | |
|---|---|
| ROUTE NO: | US 70 @ MP 270.00 |
| COUNTY: | Gila |
| SCHEDULE: | FY 2005 |
| SECTION: | Jct. SR 170 – East |
| TYPE OF WORK: | Pavement Preservation |
| PROGRAM AMOUNT: | $901,000 |
| PROJECT MANAGER: | Jason Harris |
| PROJECT: | H614301C Item #; 18005 |
| REQUESTED ACTION: | Increase program amount by $399,000 to $1,300,000 to reflect scope of work in final project assessment. There is no change in scope. **Funds available from the FY 2005 Pavement Preservation Fund 72505.** |
| PROGRAM AMOUNT: | $901,000 |
| INCREASE AMOUNT: | $399,000 |
| NEW PROGRAM AMOUNT: | $1,300,000 |

**Board Action:** A motion to approve the above recommendation was made by Mr. Gant, seconded by Mr. Jeffers and passed unanimously.

**FY 2004 - 2008 Airport Development Program**

| | |
|---|---|
| AIRPORT NAME: | Bagdad Airport |
| SPONSOR: | Yavapai County |
| AIRPORT CATEGORY: | General Aviation |
| SCHEDULE: | FY 2004 – 2008 |
| PROJECT #: | E4F12 |
| PRESENT PROGRAM AMOUNT: | New grant |
| PROJECT DESCRIPTION: | Rehabilitate Runway (30,500 s.y.), Rehabilitate Taxiway (740 s.y.), and parking apron (5,834 s.y.) including marking and tie downs, Phase II |
| REQUESTED ACTION: | Provide $15,407 funds to match Federal Grant AIP-03. Total project $344,666: |
| FUNDING SOURCES: | **FAA** $313,853<br>**Sponsor** $15,407<br>**State** $15,407<br>*Total Program* $344,666 |

| | |
|---|---|
| AIRPORT NAME: | Bisbee-Douglas International Airport |
| SPONSOR: | Cochise County |
| AIRPORT CATEGORY: | General Aviation |
| SCHEDULE: | FY 2004 – 2008 |
| PROJECT #: | E4F14 |
| PRESENT PROGRAM AMOUNT: | New grant |
| PROJECT DESCRIPTION: | Rehabilitate Runway 8/26 and Taxiway TA/A4, Phase I |
| REQUESTED ACTION: | Provide $14,727 funds to match Federal Grant AIP-02. Total project $329,453: |
| FUNDING SOURCES: | **FAA** $300,000<br>**Sponsor** $14,727<br>**State** $14,727<br>*Total Program* $329,453 |

| | |
|---|---|
| AIRPORT NAME: | Buckeye Municipal Airport |
| SPONSOR: | Town of Buckeye |
| AIRPORT CATEGORY: | General Aviation |
| SCHEDULE: | FY 2004 – 2008 |
| PROJECT #: | E4F20 |
| PRESENT PROGRAM AMOUNT: | New grant |

| | |
|---|---|
| PROJECT DESCRIPTION: | Extend Runway 17L (1200' x 75') including drainage & marking, Phase II |
| REQUESTED ACTION: | Provide $60,122 funds to match Federal Grant AIP-08. Total project $1,345,000: |
| FUNDING SOURCES: | **FAA** $1,224,757<br>**Sponsor** $60,122<br>**State** $60,122<br>*Total Program* $1,345,000 |

| | |
|---|---|
| AIRPORT NAME: | Colorado City Municipal |
| SPONSOR: | Town of Colorado City |
| AIRPORT CATEGORY: | General Aviation |
| SCHEDULE: | FY 2004 – 2008 |
| PROJECT #: | E4F18 |
| PRESENT PROGRAM AMOUNT: | New grant |
| PROJECT DESCRIPTION: | Rehabilitate Airport Electrical Service Entrance and misc. electrical improvements. |
| REQUESTED ACTION: | Provide $7,363 funds to match Federal Grant AIP-08. Total project $164,727: |
| FUNDING SOURCES: | **FAA** $150,000<br>**Sponsor** $7,363<br>**State** $7,363<br>*Total Program* $164,727 |

| | |
|---|---|
| AIRPORT NAME: | Flagstaff – Pulliam Airport |
| SPONSOR: | City of Flagstaff |
| AIRPORT CATEGORY: | Commercial Service |
| SCHEDULE: | FY 2004 – 2008 |
| PROJECT #: | E4F21 |
| PRESENT PROGRAM AMOUNT: | New grant |
| PROJECT DESCRIPTION: | Construct ARFF Building; Acquire ARFF Vehicle; Install Emergency Generator |
| REQUESTED ACTION: | Provide $149,749 funds to match Federal Grant AIP-23. Total project $3,350,099: |
| FUNDING SOURCES: | **FAA** $3,050,600<br>**Sponsor** $149,749<br>**State** $149,749<br>*Total Program* $3,350,099 |

| | |
|---|---|
| AIRPORT NAME: | Glendale Municipal |
| SPONSOR: | City of Glendale |
| AIRPORT CATEGORY: | Reliever |

| | |
|---|---|
| SCHEDULE: | FY 2004 – 2008 |
| PROJECT #: | E4F23 |
| PRESENT PROGRAM AMOUNT: | New grant |
| PROJECT DESCRIPTION: | Construct Parallel Taxiway and Connector Taxiways (5) including drainage, lighting & marking, Phase 1 |
| REQUESTED ACTION: | Provide $7,363 funds to match Federal Grant AIP-15. Total project $164,727: |
| FUNDING SOURCES: | **FAA** $150,000<br>**Sponsor** $7,363<br>**State** $7,363<br>*Total Program* $164,727 |

| | |
|---|---|
| AIRPORT NAME: | Kingman Airport |
| SPONSOR: | Kingman Airport Authority |
| AIRPORT CATEGORY: | Commercial Service |
| SCHEDULE: | FY 2004 – 2008 |
| PROJECT #: | E4F16 |
| PRESENT PROGRAM AMOUNT: | New grant |
| PROJECT DESCRIPTION: | Airport Security Enhancement, Phase 2 |
| REQUESTED ACTION: | Provide $9,818 funds to match Federal Grant AIP-13. Total project $219,635: |
| FUNDING SOURCES: | **FAA** $200,000<br>**Sponsor** $9,818<br>**State** $9,818<br>*Total Program* $219,635 |

| | |
|---|---|
| AIRPORT NAME: | Kingman Airport |
| SPONSOR: | Kingman Airport Authority |
| AIRPORT CATEGORY: | Commercial Service |
| SCHEDULE: | FY 2004 – 2008 |
| PROJECT #: | E4F17 |
| PRESENT PROGRAM AMOUNT: | New grant |
| PROJECT DESCRIPTION: | Master Plan Update |
| REQUESTED ACTION: | Provide $7,363 funds to match Federal Grant AIP-13. Total project $164,727: |
| FUNDING SOURCES: | **FAA** $150,000<br>**Sponsor** $7,363<br>**State** $7,363<br>*Total Program* $164,727 |

| | |
|---|---|
| AIRPORT NAME: | Marana NW Regional |
| SPONSOR: | Town of Marana |
| AIRPORT CATEGORY: | Reliever |
| SCHEDULE: | FY 2004 – 2008 |
| PROJECT #: | E4F13 |
| PRESENT PROGRAM AMOUNT: | New grant |
| PROJECT DESCRIPTION: | Install perimeter fence |
| REQUESTED ACTION: | Provide $7,363 funds to match Federal Grant AIP-09. Total project $164,727: |
| FUNDING SOURCES: | **FAA** $150,000<br>**Sponsor** $7,363<br>**State** $7,363<br>*Total Program* $164,727 |

| | |
|---|---|
| AIRPORT NAME: | Safford Regional |
| SPONSOR: | City of Safford |
| AIRPORT CATEGORY: | General Aviation |
| SCHEDULE: | FY 2004 – 2008 |
| PROJECT #: | E4F09 |
| PRESENT PROGRAM AMOUNT: | New grant |
| PROJECT DESCRIPTION: | Install Taxiway lighting (MITL), and install Taxiway guidance signs |
| REQUESTED ACTION: | Provide $35,313 funds to match Federal Grant AIP-12. Total project $790,000: |
| FUNDING SOURCES: | **FAA** $719,374<br>**Sponsor** $35,313<br>**State** $35,313<br>*Total Program* $790,000 |

| | |
|---|---|
| AIRPORT NAME: | St. Johns Municipal |
| SPONSOR: | City of St Johns |
| AIRPORT CATEGORY: | General Aviation |
| SCHEDULE: | FY 2004 – 2008 |
| PROJECT #: | E4F15 |
| PRESENT PROGRAM AMOUNT: | New grant |
| PROJECT DESCRIPTION: | Rehabilitate Runway 3/21 (including lighting system), Phase 2 |
| REQUESTED ACTION: | Provide $24,544 funds to match Federal Grant AIP-09. Total project $549,089: |
| FUNDING SOURCES: | **FAA** $500,000<br>**Sponsor** $24,544 |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | **State** | $24,544 |
| | *Total Program* | $549,089 |

| | |
|---|---|
| AIRPORT NAME: | Taylor Municipal |
| SPONSOR: | Town of Taylor |
| AIRPORT CATEGORY: | General Aviation |
| SCHEDULE: | FY 2004 – 2008 |
| PROJECT #: | E4F19 |
| PRESENT PROGRAM AMOUNT: | New grant |
| PROJECT DESCRIPTION: | Construct Parallel Taxiway |
| REQUESTED ACTION: | Provide $49,406 funds to match Federal Grant AIP-11. Total project $1,105,273: |
| FUNDING SOURCES: | |

| | |
|---|---|
| **FAA** | $1,006,462 |
| **Sponsor** | $49,406 |
| **State** | $49,406 |
| *Total Program* | $1,105,273 |

| | |
|---|---|
| AIRPORT NAME: | Tucson International |
| SPONSOR: | Tucson Airport Authority |
| AIRPORT CATEGORY: | Commercial Service |
| SCHEDULE: | FY 2004 – 2008 |
| PROJECT #: | E4F11 |
| PRESENT PROGRAM AMOUNT: | New grant |
| PROJECT DESCRIPTION: | Construct GA apron and Taxilane, Acquire Emergency Generator, Improve Access Rd. (Aero Park Blvd.), Enhance security system (Fiber Optic Cabling on West Ramp), Acquire interactive training system, Noise mitigation measures, to Sound Proof 50 residential Units within 65 DNL |
| REQUESTED ACTION: | Provide $240,054 funds to match Federal Grant AIP-42. Total project $5,370,330: |
| FUNDING SOURCES: | |

| | |
|---|---|
| **FAA** | $4,890222 |
| **Sponsor** | $240,054 |
| **State** | $240,054 |
| *Total Program* | $5,370,330 |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| AIRPORT NAME: | Tucson - Ryan | Page # |
| SPONSOR: | Tucson Airport Authority | |
| AIRPORT CATEGORY: | Reliever | |
| SCHEDULE: | FY 2004 – 2008 | |
| PROJECT #: | E4F10 | |

| | |
|---|---|
| PRESENT PROGRAM AMOUNT: | New grant |
| PROJECT DESCRIPTION: | Construct GA apron, taxiway extension, taxilane, lighting, utilities and fencing, Phase I, including design |
| REQUESTED ACTION: | Provide $7,363 funds to match Federal Grant AIP-13. Total project $164,727: |
| FUNDING SOURCES: | **FAA** $150,000<br>**Sponsor** $7,363<br>**State** $7,363<br>*Total Program* $164,727 |

| | |
|---|---|
| AIRPORT NAME: | Williams Gateway |
| SPONSOR: | Williams Gateway Airport Authority, Inc. |
| AIRPORT CATEGORY: | Reliever |
| SCHEDULE: | FY 2004 – 2008 |
| PROJECT #: | E4F22 |
| PRESENT PROGRAM AMOUNT: | New grant |
| PROJECT DESCRIPTION: | Construct Twy F (7,000 sy) and Construct/Expand Cargo Apron (22,000 sy), Phase V |
| REQUESTED ACTION: | Provide $62,588 funds to match Federal Grant AIP-12. Total project $1,400,176: |
| FUNDING SOURCES: | **FAA** $1,275,000<br>**Sponsor** $62,588<br>**State** $62,588<br>*Total Program* $1,400,176 |

**Board Action:** A motion to approve Items 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32 and 33 was made by Mr. Gant, seconded by Mr. Jeffers and passed unanimously.

| | |
|---|---|
| AIRPORT NAME: | ADOT- Aeronautics |
| SPONSOR: | ADOT- Aeronautics |
| AIRPORT CATEGORY: | Commercial Air Service |
| SCHEDULE: | FY 2004 – 2008 |
| PROJECT #: | E4F26 |
| PRESENT PROGRAM AMOUNT: | New grant |
| PROJECT DESCRIPTION: | Small Community Air Service Pilot Program |
| REQUESTED ACTION: | Allocate $300,000 from the Economic Strength Fund for air service pilot program in support the USDOT Grant of $1.5M. |

Total Project: $1,830,000

| FUNDING SOURCES: | | |
|---|---|---|
| | **FAA** | $1,500,000 |
| | **Sponsor** | $30,000 |
| | **State** | $300,000 |
| | *Total Program* | $1,830,000 |

**Board Action:** A motion to approve the above recommendation was made by Mr. Gant, seconded by Mr. Hileman and passed unanimously.

* Priority Planning Advisory Committee (PPAC)
- Minutes – September 4, 2003 Regular Meeting
- Highway Program Monitoring Report.

* Next regular scheduled meetings of the Priority Planning Advisory Committee (PPAC). Times and dates of meetings are subject to change and will be announced at time of Priority Planning Advisory Committee (PPAC) agenda distribution.
- November 6, 2003
- December 4, 2003

Dates subject to change

## RIGHT OF WAY RESOLUTIONS

*
RES. NO: 2003-10-A-064
PROJECT: I-10-5(19)273 / 010PM273H088801R
HIGHWAY: TUCSON - BENSON
SECTION: Rita Road – Mountain View
ROUTE NO.: Interstate Route 10
ENG. DIST: T
COUNTY: Pima
PARCEL: 10-1452 / D-T-046
RECOMMENDATION: Disposal and establishment by exchange

*
RES. NO: 2003-10-A-065
PROJECT: M-600-2-609 / 051MA000H083902R
HIGHWAY: STATE ROUTE 51
SECTION: McDowell Rd. – Glendale Ave.
ROUTE NO.: State Route 51
ENG. DIST: M
COUNTY: Maricopa
RECOMMENDATION Amendment of Resolution 2003-01-A-005 due to a design change

*
RES. NO: 2003-10-A-066
PROJECT: I-008-A-700 / 008YU001H646101R
HIGHWAY: YUMA – CASA GRANDE
SECTION: I-8 @ Giss Parkway T.I. Ramp Renovation

| | |
|---|---|
| ROUTE NO.: | Interstate Route 8 |
| ENG. DIST: | Y |
| COUNTY: | Yuma |
| RECOMMENDATION | Establish additional right of way as a state route and state highway for T.I. improvements |

| | |
|---|---|
| * RES. NO: | 2003-10-A-067 |
| PROJECT: | S-083-A-700 / 083SC036H450901R |
| HIGHWAY: | PARKER CANYON LAKE – MOUNTAIN VIEW |
| SECTION: | Sonoita – Mountain View |
| ROUTE NO.: | State Route 83 |
| ENG. DIST: | T |
| COUNTY: | Pima & Santa Cruz |
| RECOMMENDATION | Establish additional right of way as a state route and state highway to upgrade existing guardrails |

## STATE ENGINEER'S REPORT

* Report on construction and projects completed in September, 2003.

* Right of Way Acquisition Report for September, 2003.

## CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS

Interstate, Non-Federal Aid

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| * BIDS OPENED: | September 26 | |
| HIGHWAY: | TOPOCK - KINGMAN HIGHWAY (I-40) | |
| SECTION: | Topock T.I. - McConnico T.I. | |
| COUNTY: | Mohave | |
| ROUTE NO: | I-40 | |
| PROJECT: | I-040-A-509 040 MO 000 H601001C | |
| FUNDING: | 100% State | |
| LOW BIDDER: | Han Mar Energy, Inc. | |
| AMOUNT: | $ | 1,052,362.80 |
| STATE ESTIMATE: | $ | 1,198,300.00 |
| $ UNDER: | $ | 145,937.20 |
| % UNDER: | | 12.2% |
| NO. BIDDERS: | | 7 |
| RECOMMENDATION: | AWARD | |

(Non-Interstate Federal-Aid ("A" "B" projects do not need FHWA concurrence, but must comply with DBE regulations; other projects are subject to FHWA and/or local government concurrence and compliance with DBE regulations)

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| * | BIDS OPENED: | September 26 | |
| | HIGHWAY: | RED MOUNTAIN FREEWAY (SR LOOP 202) | |
| | SECTION: | Loop 202/US 60 T.I. Phase I | |
| | COUNTY: | Maricopa | |
| | ROUTE NO: | SR 202 | |
| | PROJECT: | STP-202-B(005)B 202 MA 029 H568603C | |
| | FUNDING: | 94% Federal 6% RARF | |
| | LOW BIDDER: | FNF Construction, Inc. | |
| | | "A" AMOUNT | "A+B" AMOUNT |
| | AMOUNT: | $40,951,760.96 | 44,631,760.96 |
| | STATE ESTIMATE: | $38,775,000.00 | 48,375,000.00 |
| | $ OVER: | $ 2,176,760.96 | (Based on "A" Amount) |
| | % OVER: | 5.6% | (Based on "A" Amount) |
| | NO. BIDDERS: | 4 | |
| | RECOMMENDATION: | AWARD | |

Mr. Schorr recused himself from voting on this item.

| | |
|---|---|
| BIDS OPENED: | September 18 |
| HIGHWAY: | CASA GRANDE - I-10 - COOLIDGE HIGHWAY (SR 387) |
| SECTION: | O'Neil Drive – Kortsen Road |
| SECTION: | Airport Road – Val Vista Blvd. |
| COUNTY: | Pinal |
| ROUTE NO: | SR 387 |
| PROJECT: | TEA-387-A(001)A 387 PN 001 H569801C |
| PROJECT: | TEA-387-A(003)A 387 PN 005 H618201C |
| FUNDING: | 94% Federal 6% State |
| LOW BIDDER: | M. Anderson Construction, Corp. |
| AMOUNT: | $ 187,805.55 |
| STATE ESTIMATE: | $ 265,579.05 |
| $ UNDER: | $ 77,773.50 |
| % UNDER: | 29.3% |
| NO. BIDDERS: | 7 |
| RECOMMENDATION: | AWARD |

**Board Action:** A motion to approve the above recommendation was made by Mr. Jeffers, seconded by Mr. Martin and passed unanimously.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| * | BIDS OPENED: | September 18 |
| | HIGHWAY: | I-10 - CASA GRANDE URBAN BOUNDARY HIGHWAY (SR 387) |
| | SECTION: | Val Vista to I-10 |
| | COUNTY: | Pinal |
| | ROUTE NO: | SR 387 |
| | PROJECT: | STP-387-A(002)A 387 PN 006 H436701C |
| | FUNDING: | 88.7% Federal 7.2% State 2.4% Pinal County 1.7% City of Casa Grande |
| | LOW BIDDER: | Meadow Valley Contractors, Inc. |
| | AMOUNT: | $ 4,081,949.00 |
| | STATE ESTIMATE: | $ 4,538,690.00 |
| | $ UNDER: | $ 456,741.00 |
| | % UNDER: | 10.1% |
| | NO. BIDDERS: | 8 |
| | RECOMMENDATION: | AWARD |

Mr. Schorr recused himself from voting on this item.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| * | BIDS OPENED: | September 26 |
| | HIGHWAY: | CITY OF APACHE JUNCTION |
| | SECTION: | Smoketree Street and Warner Drive |
| | COUNTY: | Pinal |
| | ROUTE NO: | N/A |
| | PROJECT: | CM-APJ-0(002)A 0000 PN APJ SS52601C |
| | FUNDING: | 94% Federal 6% City of Apache Junction |
| | LOW BIDDER: | J. Banicki Construction, Inc. |
| | AMOUNT: | $ 67,000.00 |
| | STATE ESTIMATE: | $ 75,500.00 |
| | $ UNDER: | $ 8,500.00 |
| | % UNDER: | 11.3% |
| | NO. BIDDERS: | 6 |
| | RECOMMENDATION: | AWARD |

Non-Interstate, Non-Federal Aid

| | |
|---|---|
| BIDS OPENED: | September 26 |
| HIGHWAY: | GILA BEND BUSINESS ROUTE (SR 8B) |
| SECTION: | Gillespie Canal Bridge (STR #465) |
| COUNTY: | Maricopa |
| ROUTE NO: | SR 8B |
| PROJECT: | S-B08-B-500 08B MA 120 H596501C |
| FUNDING: | 100% State |
| LOW BIDDER: | J. Banicki Construction, Inc. |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| AMOUNT: | $ | 61,688.00 |
| STATE ESTIMATE: | $ | 40,750.00 |
| $ OVER: | $ | 20,938.00 |
| % OVER: | | 51.4% |
| NO. BIDDERS: | | 3 |
| RECOMMENDATION: | AWARD | |

**Board Action:** A motion to approve the above recommendation was made by Mr. Martin, seconded by Mr. Lane and passed unanimously.

*

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| BIDS OPENED: | September 12 | |
| HIGHWAY: | STATE ROUTE 51 | |
| SECTION: | Bell Road – Pima 101L, Habitat Mitigation | |
| COUNTY: | Maricopa | |
| ROUTE NO: | SR 51 | |
| PROJECT: | RAM-051-A-506 051 MA 014 H538504C | |
| FUNDING: | 100% State | |
| LOW BIDDER: | Recon, Inc. | |
| AMOUNT: | $ | 393,765.75 |
| STATE ESTIMATE: | $ | 426,802.00 |
| $ UNDER: | $ | 33,036.25 |
| % UNDER: | | 7.7% |
| NO. BIDDERS: | | 5 |
| RECOMMENDATION: | AWARD | |

*

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| BIDS OPENED: | September 12 | |
| HIGHWAY: | GILA BEND – BUCKEYE HIGHWAY (SR 85) | |
| SECTION: | SR 85, Mileposts 122 to 126 | |
| COUNTY: | Maricopa | |
| ROUTE NO: | SR 85 | |
| PROJECT: | S-085-B-504 085 MA 122 H642601C | |
| FUNDING: | 100% State | |
| LOW BIDDER: | Nesbitt Contracting Co., Inc. | |
| AMOUNT: | $ | 108,230.00 |
| STATE ESTIMATE: | $ | 126,488.00 |
| $ UNDER: | $ | 18,258.00 |
| % UNDER: | | 14.4% |
| NO. BIDDERS: | | 7 |
| RECOMMENDATION: | AWARD | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| * | BIDS OPENED: | September 18 |
| | HIGHWAY: | PERIDOT- SAN CARLOS HIGHWAY (SR 170) |
| | SECTION: | Junction US 70 – San Carlos |
| | COUNTY: | Gila |
| | ROUTE NO: | SR 170 |
| | PROJECT: | S-170-A-500 170 GI 271 H512101C |
| | FUNDING: | 100% State |
| | LOW BIDDER: | The Ashton Company, Inc. Contractors & Engineers |
| | AMOUNT: | $ 4,899,136.60 |
| | STATE ESTIMATE: | $ 4,798,510.00 |
| | $ OVER: | $ 100,626.60 |
| | % OVER: | 2.1% |
| | NO. BIDDERS: | 7 |
| | RECOMMENDATION: | AWARD |

Mr. Schorr recused himself from voting on this item.

**CONSENT AGENDA**

**Board Action:** A motion to approve the Consent Agenda was made by Mr. Hileman, seconded by Mr. Jeffers and passed unanimously.

**ADJOURN**

**Board Action:** A motion to adjourn was made by Mr. Hileman, seconded by Mr. Jeffers and passed unanimously.

The meeting adjourned at 11:00 p.m.

Bill Jeffers

Bill Jeffers Chairperson
State Transportation Board

Victor M Mendez

Victor Mendez, Director
Arizona Department of Transportation

*Denotes items approved in the consent agenda.